### Summary of Comments Received During Consultation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder</th>
<th>Question and/or Comments</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Revisions made to MMSM Renewal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steward Association</td>
<td>Requested additional information on the five year projection in Section 7.3</td>
<td>Provided additional information on five year projection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expressed concerns on their sector’s representation on the MMSM Board.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steward</td>
<td>Comments on de minimus not being applicable to non-resident stewards who sign voluntary steward agreements.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Government</td>
<td>No concerns on MMSM’s Program Plan Renewal. Thanked for the opportunity to participate.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Government</td>
<td>Pleased with MMSM’s PPP Program. Program has worked very well for the municipality.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Government</td>
<td>No comments on renewal. Comments regarding concerns with commercial recycling.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplier to MMSM</td>
<td>Expressed support on a variety of promotional and educational programs of MMSM.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Government Organization</td>
<td>Expressed support to MMSM’s Bag it Forward: Plastic Bag Recycling Program and the Program Plan Renewal.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Government Organization</td>
<td>Expressed support on MMSM’s Program Plan Renewal, initiatives on plastic bag programs, and educational projects.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steward Association</td>
<td>Comments on harmonization with other provincial programs where possible. Requested additional information on the five year projection in Section 7.3 Expressed concerns on their sector’s representation on the MMSM Board.</td>
<td>Provided information on fee methodology review consultation. Provided additional information on five year projection. Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Government</td>
<td>Expressed support of MMSM’s Program Plan Renewal and educational programs.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments; will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## MMSM Packaging and Printed Paper Program Plan Renewal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Provided additional information on five year projection. Provided information on fee methodology review consultation. Thanked for their comments, they will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</th>
<th>Revision to Section 3.4 Enforcement Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steward Association</td>
<td>Provided comments in support of steward rules. Requested that the notification process be clearly stated in the Program Plan Renewal. Provided comments on Section 4.1 Under Program Delivery. Requested additional information on the five year projection in Section 7.3 Comments on the review of the fee setting methodology. Comments on a harmonized set of metrics. Commended MMSM for specifying its Board composition.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steward Association</td>
<td>Comments on the review of the fee setting methodology. Comments on encouraging collaboration to improve material recycling rates. Commended MMSM for its transparency with making available the annual detailed fee calculation tables.</td>
<td>Provided information on fee methodology review consultation. Thanked for their comments, they will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Government Organization</td>
<td>Expressed support of MMSM’s educational programs.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments, they will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Government</td>
<td>Expressed support of MMSM and the expertise, commitment, educational programs, various tools and resources that have been made available.</td>
<td>Thanked for their comments, they will be reviewed by MMSM Board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dear Mr. Ellacott,

Thank you for your email regarding the renewal of Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba’s (MMSM) Printed Paper Packaging Program (PPP) Plan. We appreciate the time and effort you put into commenting on our draft plan.

MMSM is committed to the consultation process, allowing all interested parties and affected industry stakeholders the opportunity to provide their valuable input toward the development of the revised PPP Program Plan. Invitations for comments were sent to over 1600 municipal and industry stakeholders.

MMSM is required under the Manitoba PPP Guidelines to provide a five-year projection as part of its program plan renewal. The projection is based on the best available information and was developed using several trending factors including: the Consumer Price Index; collection capital investments such as potential deployment of recycling carts by some municipalities; declining commodity revenues, and increased collections. These are factors impacting all municipalities and therefore increasing overall program costs.

The most significant contributor to the cost projections is the realization of the full impact of the improved recovery performance in the City of Winnipeg. Stewards may recall from the two previous fall fee consultations that the City of Winnipeg moved to a cart collection and this increased the tonnage collected. As Winnipeg represents 60% of MMSM’s tonnage and cost, and as we’ve been updating stewards each year when we provide them with our annual budget and fees, Winnipeg’s recent upgrades to carts has resulted in cost increases. Municipal funding is calculated on a three-year rolling average, and 2017 will be the first full year that the impacts from the City of Winnipeg are seen.

Please note that these cost projections do not include the many cost efficiencies that MMSM continues to explore with its municipal service provider partners. We will continue to work directly with all Manitoba municipalities on optimizing efficiencies in their residential recycling programs, standardizing the number of mandatory materials required for funding and grassroots educational programs.

The MMSM PPP program is for residential recycling in Manitoba only. Durable goods such as major appliances are not designated materials in our program. Durable goods manufacturers are represented on the MMSM Board by retailers.

Your comments will be reviewed by the staff and Board of Directors of MMSM.

If you have any further questions, please don’t hesitate to contact me at kmelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org.

Regards,

Karen Melnychuk
Executive Director

Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba
Good Afternoon Ms. Melnychuk,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comment on the proposed program plan.

In general, there is inadequate substantiation of costs and return on investment (ROI). The estimated costs are projected to rise up to $24 million which ranges between 36-42% (~$12.73 / capita up to $17.32 / capita). MMSM should expand on this substantially to justify the significant increase in costs preferably by each of the drivers in the plan.

In terms of governance it should be noted the major appliance industry (our sector), or durable goods manufacturers are not represented on the board or represented by an association. Greater diversity of the board is an area which should be explored.

Thank you.

On Mon, Mar 7, 2016 at 11:01 AM, Karen Melnychuk - MMSM <KMelnnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org> wrote:

Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba Inc. (MMSM) PPP Program Plan is up for renewal. We are committed to a consultation process that allows all interested parties and affected industry stakeholders the opportunity to provide their valuable input toward the development of the revised PPP Program Plan.

MMSM’s original PPP Program Plan was approved by Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship in 2009. We are inviting producer and stakeholder comments on the revised PPP Program Plan that is intended to highlight how the program will continue to fulfill the requirements as outlined in accordance with the Packaging and Printed Paper Stewardship Regulations (Man. Reg. 195/2008). Comments received by March 31, 2016 will be considered when finalizing the plan for submission to Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship by May 1, 2016.
The majority of changes can be found in Section 4 and represent enhancements to service contracts with municipal service providers, which better define the role and responsibilities of the parties. These enhancements will serve to help improve the program’s effectiveness and efficiency in coming years. Where possible, MMSM has also harmonized definitions and policies with other programs.

The 2017-2021 PPP Program Plan is available at: http://stewardshipmanitoba.org/stewards/whats-new/

Please submit your comments by email to Karen Melnychuk, Executive Director, at kmelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org or by mail at 200-283 Bannatyne Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3B 3B2.

We look forward to the opportunity of continuing our commitment to Manitobans by providing the best residential recycling programs possible!

Sincerely,

Karen Melnychuk
Executive Director

MMSM
Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba

200-283 Bannatyne Avenue, Winnipeg, MB R3B 3B2

Phone: (204) 953-2011 | Cell: (204) 792-5469

www.stewardshipmanitoba.org | www.simplyrecycle.ca
Dear Mr. Macdonald,

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the renewal of Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba’s (MMSM) PPP Program Plan. We appreciate the time and effort you put into commenting on our draft plan.

MMSM is committed to the consultation process, allowing all interested parties and affected industry stakeholders the opportunity to provide their valuable input toward the development of the revised PPP Program Plan. Invitations for comments were sent to over 1600 municipal and industry stakeholders.

Thank you again for your response to the draft renewal of the PPP Program Plan. Your comments will be reviewed by the staff and Board of Directors of MMSM.

If you have any further questions, please don’t hesitate to contact me at kmelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org.

Regards,

Karen Melnychuk  
Executive Director  

200-283 Bannatyne Avenue, Winnipeg, MB R3B 3B2  
Phone: (204) 953-2011 | Cell: (204) 792-5469  
www.stewardshipmanitoba.org | www.simplyrecycle.ca

Disclaimer: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message and are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.
Hi Karen,
My only comment is that as a voluntary non-resident steward with minimal sales in Manitoba, we are not treated the same as a small resident steward. Our sales are below the level that would allow a resident obligated steward to be exempt of fees. We are required to pay fees from dollar 1, we believe. As such Manitoba is displaying inequity in its treatment of small non-resident stewards. Since Manitoba knows who all the stewards are and has calculated the minimum levels for exemption, there is no reason that it cannot treat all small stewards the same. The system now puts non-resident voluntary small volume stewards at a competitive disadvantage to local small players.

If for some reason our interpretation is incorrect, please advise and we will withdraw the comment.

Kind Regards,

Scott Macdonald  B.Sc., MBA, President
Envirogard Products Limited  6-446 Major Mackenzie Dr. E., Richmond Hill, ON L4C 1J2 Canada
T: (905)884-9388, F: (905)884.3532

From: Karen Melnychuk - MMSM [mailto:KMelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org]
Sent: March-07-16 11:02 AM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: MMSM Seeking Feedback on Revised PPP Program Plan

Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba Inc. (MMSM) PPP Program Plan is up for renewal. We are committed to a consultation process that allows all interested parties and affected industry stakeholders the opportunity to provide their valuable input toward the development of the revised PPP Program Plan.

MMSM’s original PPP Program Plan was approved by Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship in 2009. We are inviting producer and stakeholder comments on the revised PPP Program Plan that is intended to highlight how the program will continue to fulfill the requirements as outlined in accordance with the Packaging and Printed Paper Stewardship Regulations (Man. Reg. 195/2008). Comments received by March 31, 2016 will be considered when finalizing the plan for submission to Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship by May 1, 2016.

The majority of changes can be found in Section 4 and represent enhancements to service contracts with municipal service providers, which better define the role and responsibilities of the parties. These enhancements will serve to help improve the program’s effectiveness and efficiency in coming years. Where possible, MMSM has also harmonized definitions and policies with other programs.

The 2017-2021 PPP Program Plan is available at: http://stewardshipmanitoba.org/stewards/whats-new/

Please submit your comments by email to Karen Melnychuk, Executive Director, at kmelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org or by mail at 200-283 Bannatyne Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba, R3B 3B2.

We look forward to the opportunity of continuing our commitment to Manitobans by providing the best residential recycling programs possible!
Sincerely,

Karen Melnychuk
Executive Director

200-283 Bannatyne Avenue, Winnipeg, MB R3B 3B2
Phone: (204) 953-2011 | Cell: (204) 792-5469
www.stewardshipmanitoba.org | www.simplyrecycle.ca

Disclaimer: This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message and are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.

No virus found in this message.
Checked by AVG - www.avg.com
Version: 2016.0.7442 / Virus Database: 4540/11769 - Release Date: 03/07/16
The Council of the Municipality of McCreary had no concerns to raise but would like to thank you for the opportunity to participate.

Wendy L. Turko, CMMA
Chief Administrative Officer
MUNICIPALITY OF McCREARY
P. O. Box 338 -432 First Avenue
McCREARY, MB ROJ 1B0
Telephone: 1 (204) 835-2341 (Direct Line)
General Office: 1 (204) 835-2309
Fax: 1 (204) 835-2649
Good Morning,

This letter is to confirm that we have been very pleased with MMSM’s PPP Program. It has worked very well for our municipality.

Thank you.

Virginia Rempel, C.M.M.A.
Planning & Engineering Department
City of Winkler
204.325.9524 ext. #3
vrempe@cityofwinkler.ca
Hi Karen

I have reviewed the Program Plan Renewal document and it appears the plan is not changing from the existing program, so we have no comments.

However, I'd like to comment at this time and there really needs to be a commercial recycling program for municipalities.

We are currently introducing a new curb side pickup in our communities however are unable to offer the commercial businesses any assistance with the pickup of their recycling. We are no longer picking up any commercial recycling, which we did before, but due to the fact our funding is only given to us for residential recycling, we are no longer offering the commercial businesses that service. We have contacted all our commercial businesses to see if they'd like a recycling pickup service, which they are responsible for paying for, many businesses are declining the service due to costs. We are very concerned that some of that recycling may end up out at our landfill site. This issue definitely needs to be addressed. Even if the commercial recycling only included cardboard to start with, that would be a huge asset.

Thank you

Karen Patterson
Finance Manager
Municipality of Killarney – Turtle Mountain
Direct Focus Marketing Communications

Letter of Recommendation
Re: Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba

To whom it may concern:

Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba (MMSM) is a client of Direct Focus. We work extensively with them on projects that have a positive and lasting impact on recycling awareness in our community. As MMSM’s service provider, we’ve helped them stay abreast of new marketing trends and improve their educational reach.

One aspect of our collaborative working relationship is concept development, where we brainstorm and develop ideas to increase awareness and knowledge about recycling. Together, we design and deploy traditional and digital marketing and communication tactics that grab attention, help raise community awareness, engage citizens in learning about recycling, and educate people of all ages about many aspects of recycling.

We’ve worked with MMSM on many worthwhile and socially responsible projects. The first involved their website, www.simplyrecycle.ca. Since mobile use surpassed desktop use in 2014¹, MMSM wanted to make their primary educational resource more accessible to community members on their smartphones and tablets. In 2014-2015, we made simplyrecycle.ca “responsive” (mobile friendly) as well as easier to find on search engines.

Another project, “Bag it Forward,” was a plastic-bag recycling program in which 350 branded bins were deployed to Winnipeg Harvest and their partners, giving Manitobans more options for recycling plastic bags. Direct Focus designed the logo and signage for the bins. Our own office bin has collected 45 lbs of bags since the program kicked off in 2015.

We were especially proud to imagine, create and develop a digital “recycling journey” for schools, to help students learn about recycling through a fun and interactive website. Aimed at grades 2 to 4, A Tale of Recycling takes students through both recycling and garbage cycles of a discarded soup can. The site is set to launch this spring, following an already-successful pilot program in a test classroom.

Our current project with MMSM is their 2016 General Awareness Campaign. The goal is to build on what people already know about recycling in Manitoba and to give them detailed information about what is recyclable, based on results from a research survey. The hashtag #KnowYourRecyclables will help generate conversation about recycling on social media.

MMSM is extremely committed to improving the recycling landscape for Manitobans, and they’re going about getting the word out in all the right ways to reach relevant audiences in public spaces, online, in schools, on devices and through social media. We deeply appreciate their vision and passion, and we enjoy working with them to make their marketing goals a reality—which also translates into a greener community for everyone to enjoy.

Sincerely,
Taylor Crawford, President
Direct Focus Marketing Communications
416.818.6977 | 204.947.7152

¹http://www.smartsinsights.com/mobile-marketing/mobile-marketing-analytics/mobile-marketing-statistics/
To whom it may concern:

Since April 2015, Winnipeg Harvest has been a proud partner with the Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba in the Bag it Forward: Plastic Bag Recycling Program.

We fully support their program plan renewal for the Packaging and Printed Paper Program Plan as our joint initiative has been such a success.

In nearly a year, we have distributed nearly 260 bins across the city with a continued call out to food distribution agencies to sign onto this initiative. The bins have also produced inspiring results with 633 pounds of plastic grocery bags at Winnipeg Harvest. The plastic bags are either recycled or used for emergency food kits.

As an organization that goes through approximately one million plastic bags a year, we seized the opportunity with delight when MMSM approached us to participate in this sustainable and green program.

The program has had a visible effect on benefiting us and the food distribution agencies we deal with.

MMSM are responsible for helping us move forward down the greening path we are working towards. We thank them for their green efforts and these tangible outcomes would not have been possible without their Bag it Forward initiative.

Regards,

Kate Brenner
Managing Director, Winnipeg Harvest
March 30, 2016

To Whom It May Concern;

This letter is to confirm Take Pride Winnipeg’s support for the renewal of the Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba (to be called MMSM) Packaging and Printed Paper Program for 2017-2021. Since its inception, MMSM has been a great partner with Take Pride Winnipeg in several of our initiatives. Their support has helped to make these initiatives extremely successful over the years.

Bag Up Manitoba is a program where elementary schools collect plastic bags and film, which then gets recycled into Frisbees, bird houses, flower boxes and benches for the schools. In 2015, there were 160 schools that participated in the program, and the students brought in 1,495,000 plastic bags – that all got recycled. This program not only teaches the students about recycling, but also reuse and reducing the amount of plastic.

MMSM’s residential recycling program has been a great success and recycling rates are increasing throughout the province because of the efforts of MMSM. Take Pride Winnipeg partnered with MMSM to have a recycling mural painted on Selkirk Avenue and McGregor Street in Winnipeg. This was done to help encourage residents to recycle more, and it has helped the area.

Team Up To Clean Up, Take Pride’s program to get citizens out in the spring and fall picking up litter and recycling has over 20,000 volunteers annually participate in the program. There is a kick off event at the MTS Centre for students and MMSM is always present to help the students learn about environmental issues. They are always asked to speak at this and other Take Pride events, including our Sustainability Day and our most recent Manitoba Eco Awareness Day/Fashion Fest (where we had nineteen students dressed in outfits made from recyclable materials). We look forward to working with MMSM in the future on these programs and others down the road.

Overall, Multi Material Stewardship Manitoba has been done a tremendous job in helping to make Manitoba a better place in which to live, and I strongly support the renewal of their program for another five years.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Tom Ethans
Executive Director
Take Pride Winnipeg
April 11, 2016

Mr. Matthew Holmes  
Chief Executive Officer  
Magazines Canada  
425 Adelaide St. E. Ste. 700  
Toronto, Ontario M5V 3C1

Dear Matthew:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the renewal of Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba’s (MMSM) PPP Program Plan. We appreciate the time and effort you put into commenting on our draft plan.

MMSM is committed to the consultation process, allowing all interested parties and affected industry stakeholders the opportunity to provide their valuable input toward the development of the revised PPP Program Plan. Invitations for comments were sent to over 1600 municipal and industry stakeholders.

CSSA, MMSM’s service provider, has been leading the review of the fee setting methodology, on behalf of the provincial programs. A steward committee was formed in early 2015, of which Magazines Canada is a member of. Consultation on the proposed new methodology begins in April 2016. Notices of the consultation have been distributed by CSSA. MMSM anticipates the new fee setting methodology will be implemented for setting the 2017 fees. If required, MMSM will consider a phased-in approach.

MMSM is required under the Manitoba PPP Guidelines to provide a five-year projection as part of its program plan renewal. The projection is based on the best available information and was developed using several trending factors including: the Consumer Price Index; collection capital investments such as potential deployment of recycling carts by some municipalities; declining commodity revenues, and increased collections. These are factors impacting all municipalities and therefore increasing overall program costs.

The most significant contributor to the cost projections is the realization of the full impact of the improved recovery performance in the City of Winnipeg. Stewards may recall from the two previous fall fee consultations that the City of Winnipeg moved to a cart collection and this increased the tonnage collected. As Winnipeg represents 60% of MMSM’s tonnage and cost, and as we’ve been updating stewards each year when we provide them with our annual budget and fees, Winnipeg’s recent upgrades to carts has resulted in cost increases. Municipal funding is calculated on a three-year rolling average, and 2017 will be the first full year that the impacts from the City of Winnipeg are seen.
Please note that these cost projections do not include the many cost efficiencies that MMSM continues to explore with its municipal service provider partners. We will continue to work directly with all Manitoba municipalities on optimizing efficiencies in their residential recycling programs, standardizing the number of mandatory materials required for funding and grassroots educational programs.

In Manitoba, all newspapers are obligated stewards, and must register and report. Fees are invoiced and collected.

Thank you again for your response to the draft renewal of the PPP Program Plan. Your comments will be reviewed by the staff and Board of Directors of MMSM.

If you have any further questions, please don’t hesitate to contact me at kmelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org.

Sincerely,

Karen Melnychuk
Executive Director
Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba
March 30, 2016

Ms. Karen Melnychuk, Executive Director
Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba (MMSM)
200-283 Bannatyne Avenue
Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 3B2.
kmelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org

Re: MMSM Packaging and Printed Paper Program Plan Renewal 2017-2021

Dear Karen:

Thank you for the opportunity to add Magazines Canada’s comments to Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba’s draft PPP Program Plan Renewal consultation process.

We appreciate the work that MMSM and CSSA has contributed to-date to the ongoing need for harmonizing Manitoba’s Blue Box program with others across the country. It is essential that all jurisdictions work together to streamline any and all strategic and operational details so that desired efficiencies can be found. Harmonization must remain a key priority for MMSM and all other provincial jurisdictions to ease the financial and administrative burden on Blue Box Stewards.

Nevertheless, we are very concerned that total MMSM program cost projections are expected to increase between 32% and 46% over the next 5 years with double digit growth in each of the first two years going forward. We ask for insight into the cost drivers behind these large annual increases. The magazine industry has already endured enormous fee increases of nearly 400% since MMSM’s inception and 180% since 2014, substantially higher than total program averages. We are very troubled by the prospect of new double- (and perhaps triple-?) digit magazine fee increases despite MMSM recognition that magazines and other printed papers are being unfairly treated by today’s broken fee setting methodology which requires the payment of fees in excess of the cost to manage those materials. We remain hopeful that CSSA’s ongoing fee setting methodology review will remedy this situation but necessary approvals and/or the potential multi-year phase-in of a new fee structure will take time leaving magazines vulnerable to increasingly unfair fee hikes. How can MMSM mitigate unfair magazine fee increases until a new, fairer fee setting methodology is fully operational so that magazines aren’t paying more than their fair share?

Adding to the above concern is the composition of MMSM’s Board of Directors as it relates to printed papers. We continue in our belief that magazine and other printed paper Stewards are not well represented. It is our view that newspaper industry representation on the MMSM Board sends an inappropriate message to all other Stewards, not only because of the patchwork of noncompliance and special deals that characterize newspaper participation across the country, but more specifically because Manitoba’s newspaper industry does not pay into the MMSM system. Magazines and all other printed paper materials, representing nearly 50% of Manitoba’s total Blue Box tonnage, deserve representation from someone who
has “skin in the game” and who can best embody the unique needs of printed paper Stewards. Magazines Canada supports change to ensure strong, positive printed paper representation going forward.

Magazine Stewards look forward to the day when a level playing field is finally achieved for magazine Stewards, and others, in Manitoba. We ask MMSM to creatively consider options that will ensure a fair and equitable solution to ongoing magazine industry issues, not only in the long term but in the short term as well. Magazines Canada remains committed to working with MMSM and CSSA to achieve fair-minded resolutions that benefit us all.

Sincerely,

Matthew Holmes  
Chief Executive Officer, Magazines Canada  
mholmes@magazinescanada.ca
March 31, 2016

Re: 2017-2021 PPP Plan

Dear Karen,

I am the Chairman of the South Mountain Waste Management Group that manages the recycling program of the municipalities of Clanwilliam-Erickson and Harrison-Park as well as Riding Mountain National Park.

I have reviewed the proposed plan for 2017-2021 and agree that this plan meets the needs of our municipalities and has been effective in delivering recycling to our people. We have received practical advice whenever we have requested support and although we are located three hours from Winnipeg there has been no hesitation for face to face meetings.

Support for educational programs has been good and transparency in the program is clear.

We support the proposed plan and hope that the Manitoba Government will endorse the program for another five years.

Yours truly

Don Huisman

Chairman

South Mountain Waste Management Group
April 11, 2016

Ms. Nathalie St-Pierre
Vice-President – Sustainability and Quebec
Retail Council of Canada

Dear Nathalie:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the renewal of Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba’s (MMSM) PPP Program Plan. We appreciate the time and effort you put into commenting on our draft plan.

MMSM is committed to the consultation process, allowing all interested parties and affected industry stakeholders the opportunity to provide their valuable input toward the development of the revised PPP Program Plan. Invitations for comments were sent to over 1600 municipal and industry stakeholders.

RCC’s comments related to Section 3 and 4 of the Program Plan Renewal will be reviewed by MMSM staff and the Board of Directors.

MMSM is required under the Manitoba PPP Guidelines to provide a five-year projection as part of its program plan renewal. The projection is based on the best available information and was developed using several trending factors including: the Consumer Price Index; collection capital investments such as potential deployment of recycling carts by some municipalities; declining commodity revenues, and increased collections. These are factors impacting all municipalities and therefore increasing overall program costs.

The most significant contributor to the cost projections is the realization of the full impact of the improved recovery performance in the City of Winnipeg. Stewards may recall from the two previous fall fee consultations that the City of Winnipeg moved to a cart collection and this increased the tonnage collected. As Winnipeg represents 60% of MMSM’s tonnage and cost, and as we’ve been updating stewards each year when we provide them with our annual budget and fees, Winnipeg’s recent upgrades to carts has resulted in cost increases. Municipal funding is calculated on a three-year rolling average, and 2017 will be the first full year that the impacts from the City of Winnipeg are seen.

Please note that these cost projections do not include the many cost efficiencies that MMSM continues to explore with its municipal service provider partners. We will continue to work directly with all Manitoba municipalities on optimizing efficiencies in their residential recycling programs, standardizing the number of mandatory materials required for funding and grassroots educational programs.
CSSA, MMSM’s service provider, has been leading the review of the fee setting methodology, on behalf of the provincial programs. A steward committee was formed in early 2015. Consultation on the proposed new methodology begins in April 2016. Notices of the consultation have been distributed by CSSA. The MMSM Board of Directors will review all feedback received during the consultation process. MMSM anticipates the new fee setting methodology, if approved by the Board of Directors, will be implemented for setting the 2017 fees. If required, MMSM will consider a phased-in approach.

MMSM is working with other provinces on several national harmonization activities, including performance metrics.

Thank you again for your response to the draft renewal of the PPP Program Plan. Your comments will be reviewed by the staff and Board of Directors of MMSM.

If you have any further questions, please don’t hesitate to contact me at kmelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org.

Sincerely,

Karen Melnychuk
Executive Director
Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba
March 31, 2016

Karen Melnychuk
Executive Director
Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba
200-283 Bannatyne Avenue
Winnipeg, MB R3B 3B2

Via email: KMelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org

RE: Feedback on MMSM Packaging and Printed Paper Program Plan Renewal 2017-2021

Dear Karen:

On behalf of members of the Retail Council of Canada (RCC), I would first like to thank MMSM for providing stakeholders with an opportunity to comment on the proposed Printed Paper Program Plan 2017-2021. The following is RCC’s feedback on the proposed program plan.

The Retail Council of Canada (RCC) is a not-for-profit, industry-funded association representing more than 45,000 store fronts of all retail formats, including department, grocery, independent merchants, regional and national specialty chains, and online merchants.

RCC is a strong advocate for retailing in Canada and works with all levels of government and with other stakeholders to support employment growth and career opportunities in retail, to promote and sustain retail investments in communities from coast-to-coast, and to enhance consumer choice and industry competitiveness. RCC also provides its members with a full range of services and programs including education and training, benchmarking and best practices, networking, advocacy, and industry information. RCC’s members:

- Take part in close to a hundred environmental stewardship programs across the country and are called upon to expand their role as new ones are developed.
- Welcome the opportunity to manage efficient and relevant environmental stewardship programs.
- Spend millions of dollars on environmental and sustainable activities every year and are continually putting additional resources into managing the growing number of programs. These costs are ultimately reflected in the prices that consumers pay and hence delivery of the right outcomes at the lowest cost to consumers should be the goal of this process.

Generally speaking, most of the proposed program plan follows the content of the plan currently in effect. RCC would like to take this opportunity to improve the proposed plan with a series of recommendations.
RCC supports the cascading priority framework proposed in section 3.1 with regards to the definition of obligated stewards. This reflects the understanding that brand owners should be first seen as the obligated party, followed by the franchisor if no brand owner in the province. If none of the first two are found in the province, only then should we look at first importers, who are retailers in most cases. RCC also appreciates that voluntary stewards are specifically listed in the definition of steward as well as in section 3.2, confirming the status of voluntary stewards in the plan to be approved by government. However, RCC feels that the plan should further indicate that voluntary stewards are approved for calendar years and are liable for materials they take responsibility for during the course of a given year. In the light of the Worthington case in Ontario, first obligated stewards need to get some insurance that a stewardship organization will not go back to them once a year’s books have been closed to collect fees left unpaid by a voluntary steward that decided to exit the program in the middle of the year. RCC recommends that such mention be added to the proposed plan.

With regards to section 3.3 – Rules for stewards, while RCC clearly approves any defined comment period, members would like to make sure a 90-day period is observed prior to the implementation of any new dispositions as a result of amendments to the rules for stewards. This 90-day advance notice is a standard principle that RCC has been advocating in all of our discussions with stewardship organizations for the past several years. While we recognize most changes might not necessarily require 90 days to implement, adopting such a systematic procedure would be not only a courtesy to stewards but would also avoid having to assess how long of a notice should stewards be provided every time a change is made to the rules.

Section 3.4 discusses enforcement procedures in case of non-compliance. While it is our general understanding that the notification process detailed in the current program plan would still prevail until the escalation policy developed by CSSA is adopted, RCC feels it would be relevant to keep providing timings in this section. Retailers identify free riders as one of the priority issues they face in ensuring a level playing field in funding stewardship programs and RCC would like to ensure these rules are publicly known for all stewards and clearly stated in the plan. RCC agrees that there is a need for an escalation policy to further detail the procedures to enforce. However, our members are not comfortable with the plan mentioning an escalation policy that is not yet published without any mention of timings. As per government requirement, the current plan details the procedures in such cases. RCC recommends that MMSM include in the proposed plan the timeframe used in the current plan as a means to reiterate the rules that are to be followed until a new policy is adopted.

Under section 4.1, RCC recommends that MMSM add a requirement for municipalities to submit costs that have been audited by a third party, as an additional cost containment measure and verification. This approach currently required for Quebec municipalities improves credibility of figures provided and aligns with standard business practices when a company commits to funding another business’ activities.

In addition, as a transitional measure before the disposition allowing MMSM to directly deliver recycling services kicks in, RCC recommends that penalties be included in the plan and service agreements for municipalities that don’t align with MMSM’s requirements. The delivery of the service involves a certain level of risk for MMSM and having a series of transitional penalties would ensure that MMSM handling the service rather than a municipality is only considered as last resort.
RCC members fully support to new municipal cost control dispositions proposed in this plan under section 4.3.2. This section would require municipalities to notify or consult with MMSM during any decision-making process that would see a municipality

- increase the quantity of PPP collected;
- increase collection and/or processing costs; or
- reduce material revenue;
- prepare or issue a request for proposals or tender for PPP collection or processing services;
- award a contract to collect or process PPP from households; or
- acquire or modify any capital assets.

“Should the municipality disregard MMSM’s advice and should the changes implemented by the municipality add costs to the system that would not be incurred if MMSM were to be responsible for program design and delivery, these costs will be deemed to be ineligible costs.”

In order to clarify which costs are considered ineligible, MMSM should include a schedule of ineligible costs in the proposed plan, as provided in the current funding agreements. With major changes currently underway in the collection and sorting approaches for several municipalities, these new dispositions could prove to be efficient in preventing unjustified/ not sufficiently justified cost increases. If properly presented to the government, RCC is confident that the Minister will agree to this approach.

RCC members are concerned with the 5-year cost projections presented in the plan. While recent changes brought to the curbside services (cart model pick-up) and material sorting facility (enhanced sorting capacity) in Winnipeg explain the significant increase planned for 2017 as tonnage are expected to raise in the city, RCC urges MMSM to effectively enforce the dispositions with regards to municipal costs and non-compliant stewards proposed in this plan to control as much as possible the fee increases that are planned for stewards over the next 5 years. RCC believes cost projections and containment should require a transparent communication process with contributing stewards to ensure all are aware of the reasons explaining why such increases are foreseen in the coming years.

With regards to the expected fee-setting methodology, RCC believes MMSM should provide in the proposed plan, out of transparency, a sense of the timing and next steps for MMSM’s adoption of the methodology. As CSSA engages in a consultation period, it appears critical that MMSM indicates how it intends to handle the feedback received on the methodology. This can only improve stewards’ trust in the organization and their understanding of the fees they pay.

With regards to Program Performance Measurement and Reporting, RCC asks MMSM and the other provincial PPP programs to adopt common wording for performance metrics. Currently, stewards are not in a position to compare the levels performance of the various provincial programs. A harmonized set of metrics would allow comparability and would help municipalities and stewardship organizations improve their level of efficiency in program delivery. In addition, RCC recommends that section 8.2 require a periodical activity-based cost analysis to ensure cost data is the most credible possible. This would further inform on the levels of contamination or residues and the handling of orphan materials. On that point, RCC strongly recommends MMSM to adopt mechanisms capping funding for costs related to orphan or non-designated materials
as an additional means to contain increasing costs. MMSM should make sure such mechanism is at least included in its funding/service agreements with municipalities.

Finally, with regards to governance, RCC commends MMSM for specifying its board composition. While our members feel retailers should be even more represented on the board, to reflect the level of their contributions out of the total fees paid to the program, few other organizations have been that clear in detailing their board composition. RCC sees this as more than positive in its collaboration with MMSM.

RCC will continue to inform and provide support to ensure its members are aware of their obligations and are able to comply in the most efficient way and at the lowest costs. Should you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Nathalie St-Pierre
Vice-president – Sustainability and Québec Retail Council of Canada
nst-pierre@cccd-rcc.org
514-982-0267 ext. 331
April 11, 2016

Ms. Isabelle Faucher
Managing Director
Carton Council of Canada

Dear Isabelle:

Thank you for your recent letter regarding the renewal of Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba’s (MMSM) PPP Program Plan. We appreciate the time and effort you put into commenting on our draft plan.

MMSM is committed to the consultation process, allowing all interested parties and affected industry stakeholders the opportunity to provide their valuable input toward the development of the revised PPP Program Plan. Invitations for comments were sent to over 1600 municipal and industry stakeholders.

Thank you again for your response to the draft renewal of the PPP Program Plan. Your comments will be reviewed by the staff and Board of Directors of MMSM.

If you have any further questions, please don’t hesitate to contact me at kmelnychuk@stewardshipmanitoba.org.

Sincerely,

Karen Melnychuk
Executive Director
Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba
Toronto, March 31\textsuperscript{st} 2016

Ms. Karen Melnychuk
Executive Director, Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba
200-283 Bannatyne Avenue,
Winnipeg, MB R3B 3B2

Re: MMSM Revised PPP Program Plan

Dear Karen,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on MMSM’s revised Packaging and Printed Paper Program Plan. We would like to comment on two areas that are not specifically addressed in the revised plan: dis-aggregating carton fees and encouraging collaboration to improve carton recycling.

Dis-aggregating carton fees

We are aware that MMSM, with CCSA, is currently undertaking a review of the fee setting methodology, as referred to in section 7.1 of the revised plan, and we are registered to attend the April 21\textsuperscript{st} consultation meeting at which a new methodology will be proposed. As you know, we presented to the Steward Consultation Committee overseeing the fee setting methodology review project in July 2015. We would like to take this opportunity to reiterate our continued concern that fees for cartons are aggregated with other paper-based packaging that do not perform as well on the recycling front. This continues to unjustifiably disadvantage cartons in the marketplace, such that carton fees continue to lose ground to those of competing formats. We strongly urge MMSM to dis-aggregate cartons from other lower recovery material categories. We believe the fee setting methodology project provides the appropriate opportunity for movement on this front.

In order to move forward with disaggregation however, cartons require their own fee category, which is not the case at the moment under MMSM’s program. This requires the introduction of two new data points. Firstly, if they are not already doing so, stewards should be required to report the quantity of gable top and aseptic cartons that they supply to residential consumers in Manitoba, separately from the broad “Polycoat & Laminates” fee category\textsuperscript{1}.

Secondly, the quantity of cartons marketed should be determined. We are aware that cartons are generally marketed in polycoat bales and that each municipality may market a different grade depending on what they collect and sort. For example, as you know the City of Winnipeg, which represents over 60\% of the population of the province, accepts cartons in their residential recycling program (paper laminants are not accepted). The Material Recycling Facility that processes their material (Emterra Environmental) markets a polycoat grade that is mostly made

\textsuperscript{1} It appears from the 2016 National Steward Guidebook and 2016 Manitoba Materials’ List that stewards already are reporting Gable Top and Aseptic Containers as stand-alone subcategories.
up of cartons. Given this, MMSM would need to undertake bale composition audits to determine the relative quantities of cartons recovered and marketed as is done in Ontario. We assume this already is being done to determine the quantities of other fibre materials like magazines and catalogues within mixed paper and ONP bales and the data for cartons may already be tracked.

Moreover, the revenue that is realized from the sale of recovered cartons should be tracked separately and attributed to cartons. The current aggregation distributes some of the revenue earned for cartons to laminates. In its fee setting calculations MMSM currently does not present cost and revenue separately — only net cost. However, we assume municipalities and their service providers are reporting revenue for specific materials where possible.

In addition to leveling the playing field between cartons and paper laminates, creating carton-specific fee rates would allow us to track improvements in cartons’ performance, both on the revenue and the recovery side. As you know, the Carton Council of Canada’s mandate is to grow carton recycling nationally and this is consistent with the objectives of MMSM’s proposed plan. The lack of these data in Manitoba makes it challenging to advance our mission in this province at the moment.

**Encouraging collaboration to improve carton recycling**

To that end, we did not find any references in the revised Program Plan to the establishment of potential partnerships and collaboration with committed stakeholders as ourselves in order to grow the recovery and recycling of materials. Indeed, the Continuous Improvement Program (CIP) described in section 4.6 of the original plan, which put a priority on efficiency & effectiveness, including the improvement of collection and processing of designated PPP materials is not present in the revised plan. As such, we would like to take this opportunity to reiterate our willingness to collaborate with MMSM — whether it be on benchmarking and studies (e.g. bale audits), awareness raising, or any other initiative that would help grow carton recycling in the province. In that sense, we are delighted that you have reached out on a possible collaboration for your 2016 Promotion and Education Campaign and we look forward to joining efforts with you on this.

I would be more than happy to discuss the contents of our submission in more details with you. In closing, we would like to take this opportunity to commend MMSM for the level of transparency it has demonstrated and continues to demonstrate, by making available the detailed calculation table (Fee Calculation Model) for each annual fee cycle. As you know, such information-sharing is essential for active participation and contribution by stakeholders regarding the performance of specific materials, such as ourselves.

Regards,

Isabelle Faucher  
Managing Director, Carton Council of Canada
March 31, 2016

RE: Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba
Packaging and Printed Paper (PPP) Program Plan Renewal

To Whom it concerns:

Multi-Material Stewardship Manitoba (MMSM) has been a key partner with The Forks in Winnipeg since 2012. As the Presenting Sponsor of our Target Zero Eco-Kids Tour, MMSM has been actively involved and instrumental in helping us launch a program about sustainability, targeted at school groups.

We have an ambitious goal at The Forks, to reduce our greenhouse gas emissions to zero – Target Zero. Our extensive initiatives include geothermal heating and cooling of The Forks Market; water conservation and grey water collection; the use of biofuel in all our site vehicles; commercial grade biocomposting and site-wide recycling.

When The Forks was about to launch a 60-minute Eco Tour for school groups as part of our education program – MMSM was more than ready to sponsor the program, contribute content, and provide messaging around Reduce, Reuse and Recycle. Through our collaborative partnership we’ve become more effective in promoting Target Zero Eco Kids presented by MMSM to schools and the public through email blasts, school presentations, advertisements, social media, and video messaging.

MMSM has been a great resource and vital partner. Our community needs their sustained, enthusiastic and creative involvement in order to “…promote the reduction, reuse and recycling of designated packaging and printed paper in the province of Manitoba and to sustainably increase recovery and recycling rates of the designated material.”

Kind regards,

Geraldine De Braune
Manager, Sponsorship Development
Office (204) 987-4371 | Mobile (204) 955-5708
geraldine.debraune@tf-np.ca | www.theforks.com
March 31, 2016

To Whom It May Concern:

It is my pleasure to write this letter on behalf of the City of Brandon in support of the Multi-Material Stewardship Program (MMSM).

Over the years the City of Brandon has developed a very close working relationship with MMSM. Without the partnership that has formed and the continued support that MMSM has provided our organization, the City of Brandon would not be as successful as we are today in regards to the diversion of recyclables that we have accomplished to date.

MMSM has provided numerous tools to our City, such as the development and implementation of the waste audit program. These audits have allowed us to understand our recycling efforts within the community and have given us invaluable insight into areas of improvement that continue to assist us in attaining our overall goal of a 70% diversion rate.

In late 2013 MMSM was also able to assist us through an integral time as our municipality worked through an extensive business plan on deciding whether or not we should internally operate the Material Recovery Facility (MRF) at our Landfill. MMSM offered their professional expertise and vast amount of insight in this area to help us tailor our operational plan to suit the City of Brandon and surrounding municipalities that would be utilizing our facility. Executive members from MMSM spent countless hours meeting with our team from the City to discuss the RFP process and design, the financial cost savings related to the potential changeover, and discussing direct changes that could be made within the facility to ensure a smoother process within the plant for our staff. Now 2 years later, we continue to utilize the strong working relationship that we have developed with MMSM for recommendations on procedures to ensure that our operations remain efficient and run smoothly.

In addition, MMSM has also been an integral resource for assisting our Environmental Initiatives Section on educating our youth in waste diversion through the Bag Up Manitoba Challenge and the Brandon Waste Reduction School Challenge.

To conclude, on behalf of the City of Brandon, I fully support the efforts of MMSM. The assistance that is provided to us on an ongoing basis through MMSM has helped us achieve great success in recycling. We are very grateful for the assistance that MMSM has provided us in the past and look forward to continuing to work together in years to come as we work towards the goals to increase recycling in our Community.

Sincerely,

Scott Hildebrand
City Manager
Hello Karen

Tannis asked me to respond advising the Council of the RM of Lac du Bonnet supports the MMSM program.

_Sandra Broek_
_Assistant Administrator/Finance Officer_
_RM of Lac du Bonnet_
_204-345-2619_